

1 BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP
 2 DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257)
 3 GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468)
 4 HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045)
 5 ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009)
 6 BREE HANN (SBN 215695)
 7 Three Embarcadero Center
 8 San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
 Telephone: (415) 393-2000
 Facsimile: (415) 393-2286
 donn.pickett@bingham.com
 geoff.howard@bingham.com
 holly.house@bingham.com
 zachary.alinder@bingham.com
 bree.hann@bingham.com

9 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
 10 DAVID BOIES (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
 11 333 Main Street
 Armonk, NY 10504
 Telephone: (914) 749-8200
 Facsimile: (914) 749-8300
 dboies@bsflp.com
 12 STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (SBN 144177)
 13 1999 Harrison St., Suite 900
 Oakland, CA 94612
 Telephone: (510) 874-1000
 Facsimile: (510) 874-1460
 sholtzman@bsflp.com
 fnorton@bsflp.com

14 DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)
 15 JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227)
 16 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7
 Redwood City, CA 94070
 Telephone: (650) 506-4846
 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114
 dorian.daley@oracle.com
 jennifer.gloss@oracle.com

17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 18 Oracle USA, Inc., *et al.*

20 ORACLE USA, INC., *et al.*,

21 Plaintiffs,

22 v.

23 SAP AG, *et al.*,

24 Defendants.

25 Robert A. Mittelstaedt (SBN 060359)
 26 Jason McDonell (SBN 115084)
 27 Elaine Wallace (SBN 197882)
 JONES DAY
 28 555 California Street, 26th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 Telephone: (415) 626-3939
 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700
 ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com
 jmcdonell@jonesday.com
 ewallace@jonesday.com

29 Tharan Gregory Lanier (SBN 138784)
 30 Jane L. Froyd (SBN 220776)
 31 JONES DAY
 32 1755 Embarcadero Road
 Palo Alto, CA 94303
 Telephone: (650) 739-3939
 Facsimile: (650) 739-3900
 tglanier@jonesday.com
 jfroyd@jonesday.com

33 Scott W. Cowan (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
 34 Joshua L. Fuchs (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
 35 JONES DAY
 36 717 Texas, Suite 3300
 Houston, TX 77002
 Telephone: (832) 239-3939
 Facsimile: (832) 239-3600
 swcowan@jonesday.com
 jlfuchs@jonesday.com

37 Attorneys for Defendants
 SAP AG, SAP AMERICA, INC., and
 TOMORROWNOW, INC.

22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 23 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 24 OAKLAND DIVISION

25 Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)

26 **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 27 ORDER TO EXTEND TEMPORARY
 STAY OF EXECUTION OF
 JUDGMENT AND STIPULATED
 REQUEST TO EXTEND BRIEFING
 SCHEDULE**

Stipulation to Extend Temporary Stay of Execution of Judgment

WHEREAS, the Court entered final judgment in the above-captioned matter on February 3, 2011 (ECF No. 1036);

WHEREAS, Rule 62(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that “no execution may issue on a judgment, nor may proceedings be taken to enforce it, until 14 days have passed after its entry,” Fed. R. Civ. P. 62(a);

WHEREAS, at the Parties' request, the Court granted a temporary stay of execution of final judgment, which will expire on March 10, 2011;

WHEREAS, the Parties continue to negotiate an appropriate security for the judgment;

WHEREAS, the Parties agree to: (i) extend the temporary stay of execution for an

additional 14 days, and (ii) should Defendants file a motion pursuant to Rules 62(b) and 62(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to stay of execution of final judgment pending disposition of post-judgment motions and, if necessary, an appeal by having the Court set an appropriate security (“Rule 62(b) and 62(d) Motion”) within that 14-day time period, extend the temporary stay of execution through the Court’s ruling on the Rule 62(b) and 62(d) Motion;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the Parties, through their respective counsel of record, that execution of judgment shall be stayed until March 24, 2011, or, should Defendants file a Rule 62(b) and 62(d) Motion on or before March 24, 2011, that execution of judgment shall be stayed through the Court's ruling on the Rule 62(b) and 62(d) Motion.

Stipulated Request to Extend Briefing Schedule

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2, 6-12, and 7-4, the Parties submit this stipulated request to extend the briefing schedule for post-judgment briefs filed pursuant to Rule 50(b) and Rule 59 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (the “Post-Trial Motions”).

Following the filing of the Parties' February 18, 2011 Stipulated Request, Dkt. No. 1041, the Court set a briefing and hearing schedule for the Post-Trial Motions in the Court's February 23, 2011 Order, Dkt. No. 1043. Given the complexity of the issues addressed in the Post-Trial Motions, good cause exists for a minor extension of the time to file Opposition and Reply Briefs.

1 Specifically, the Parties jointly request that the Court grant an additional 9-day extension to file
 2 Opposition Briefs and an additional 5-day extension to file Reply Briefs. As the current hearing
 3 date set by the Court is July 13, 2011, the Parties do not believe that the requested additional
 4 extension of time, which still provides the Court approximately 2.5 months to consider the
 5 Parties' briefing, will impact the hearing date or the Court's consideration of the Post-Trial
 6 Motions.

7 Accordingly, the Parties request that the Court modify the briefing schedule as follows:

8 April 8 Deadline to file Opposition Briefs
 9 April 27 Deadline to file Reply Briefs
 10 July 13 Hearing

11 The only purpose of this request is to extend the briefing deadlines as noted above, and
 12 thus, this request neither affects any other rights or obligations of the Parties, nor impacts the
 13 briefing page limits or briefing description set forth in the Parties' February 18, 2011 Stipulated
 14 Request, Dkt. No. 1041, and approved by the Court's February 23, 2011 Order, Dkt. No. 1043.

15

16 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

17 Dated: March 9, 2011

Bingham McCutchen LLP

18

19

20 By: /s/ Geoffrey M. Howard
 21 Geoffrey M. Howard
 22 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 23 Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International
 24 Corporation, and Siebel Systems, Inc.

25

26

27 In accordance with General Order No. 45, Rule X, the above signatory attests that
 28 concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatory below.

1 Dated: March 9, 2011

JONES DAY

3 By: /s/ Tharan Gregory Lanier
4 Tharan Gregory Lanier

5 Counsel for Defendants
6 SAP AG, SAP AMERICA, INC., and
7 TOMORROWNOW, INC.

10 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11
12
13
14 DATED: _____

15 By: _____
16 Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton
17 United States District Court Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28